UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,496	02/06/2004	Victor S. Chan	CA920030046US1	6631
58139 IBM CORP. (W	7590 04/23/200 / <b>SM</b> )	EXAMINER		
c/o WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C.			MYHRE, JAMES W	
	P.O. BOX 50784 DALLAS, TX 75201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3688	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/773,496	CHAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES W. MYHRE	3688
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 and 2an This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	oate

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed on March 12, 2008.
 The Amendment cancelled Claim 21. Therefore, the currently pending claims considered below are Claims 1-20.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. The Amendment filed on March 12, 2008, cancelled Claim 21, thus overcoming the rejection of this claim under 35 U.S.C. 101 in paragraph 2 of the January 16. 2008 Office Action. Therefore, the Examiner hereby withdraws that rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Westrope (WO 01/29716 A2).

Claims 1, 8, 12, and 14: <u>Westrope</u> discloses a system, method, and program for managing content on a virtual store, comprising:

Art Unit: 3688

a. creating a template (presentation layout templates) upon which store web page displays are formatted (page 9, lines 1-14);

- b. designating one or more e-marketing spots in the hosted stores (media outlets) (page 9, lines 1-14);
- c. setting up a marketing campaign for the stores (page 8, lines 15-30 and page 10, lines 12-13); and
- d. creating one or more campaign initiatives (e.g. start/end dates and times) for the content to be displayed in the stores (page 10, lines 17-31).

Claims 2, 9, 13, and 15: <u>Westrope</u> discloses a system, method, and program as in Claims 1, 8, and 14 above, and further discloses creating local campaign initiatives for content to be displayed in the e-marketing spots of the stores (page 16, lines 23-30).

Claims 3 and 16: <u>Westrope</u> discloses a program and method as in Claims 2 and 15 above, and further discloses modifying the local campaign initiatives in the store (page 15, lines 9-13).

Claims 4, 10, and 17: <u>Westrope</u> disclose a system, method, and program as in Claims 2, 9, and 15 above, and further discloses scheduling a time duration (start/end dates and times) for the content display (page 10, lines 20-31).

Art Unit: 3688

Claims 7 and 20: <u>Westrope</u> discloses a program and method as in Claims 1 and 14 above, and further discloses modifying the campaign initiatives in the store (page 12, lines 6-24 and page 15, lines 9-13).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5, 6, 11, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Westrope (WO 2001/29716 A2) in view of Ozer et al (7,136,871).

Claims 5, 11, and 18: Westrope discloses a system, method and program as in Claims 4, 10, and 17 above, and further implies checking for schedule conflicts between the campaign initiatives by displaying a campaign docket summary in calendar form which the media licensees (stores) and creator (advertiser) use to design and modify their campaigns (page 11, line 18 – page 12, line 24). Ozer discloses a similar system, method, and program for managing marketing campaigns in which several techniques are disclosed for conflict resolution between a plurality of advertisements within a marketing campaign (column 3, lines 49-57; column 5, lines 61-67; and column 17, lines 34-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made for <u>Westrope</u> to use one or more of these techniques to determine and resolve scheduling conflicts between the various local and national marketing campaigns shown on the campaign docket summary. One would have been motivated to check for and to resolve such conflicts in order to allow the completion within the designated contractual agreements of the marketing campaigns.

Claims 6 and 19: Westrope and Ozer disclose a program and method as in Claims 5 and 18 above, and Ozer further discloses the "local advertisements will be treated as committed advertisements and given absolute weightings, while the national and default advertisements will be used as flexible advertisements, which are given relative weightings" (column 29, lines 42-53). In one embodiment, Ozer discloses first scheduling the committed advertisements; then, if all the time slots are not taken, filling the remaining time slots with the flexible advertisements (column 28, line 58 – column 29, line 8). However, Ozer also discloses that the national advertiser could also set up the national advertisements as committed advertisements. Additionally, the Examiner notes that the decision of which advertisement (campaign initiative) would have priority would be up to the operator of the system. If only one advertisement could be shown, then the operator would have two choices - show the local advertisement or show the other advertisement. Official Notice is taken that such decisions are old and well known within the advertising arts. For example, for at least several decades, local television stations have routinely substituted local advertisement spots for nationally broadcast advertisements. They have also routinely substituted regional or national public alert

messages in place of local advertisements/programs. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the operator in <a href="Westrope">Westrope</a> to select the (national) campaign initiative over the local campaign initiative. One would have been motivated to choose the national campaign initiative over the local campaign initiative in order to allow the store to receive the monetary compensation from the national advertiser and to meet its contractual obligations.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed March 12, 2008, with respect to Claims 1-20 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The non-final rejection of Claims 1-20 as being unpatentable over Minami has been withdrawn.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES W. MYHRE whose telephone number is (571)272-6722. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:00-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,496 Page 7

Art Unit: 3688

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JWM April 20, 2008

/James W Myhre/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688